PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

ITEP-LAT-2009-15

Gluon propagators and center vortices at finite temperature

T. Saito*
Integrated Information Center, Kochi University, Akebono-cho, Kochi, 780-8520, Japan
E-mail: tsaito@rcnp.osaka-u.ac.jp

M. N. Chernodub
CNRS, Laboratoire de Mathematiques et Physique Theorique, Fédération Denis Poisson, Université de Tours, Parc de Grandmont, F37200, Tours, France
Department of Mathematical Physics and Astronomy, University of Gent, Krijgslaan 281, S9, Gent, B-9000 Belgium
Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics ITEP, 117259 Moscow, Russia
E-mail: Maxim.Chernodub@lmpt.univ-tours.fr

Atsushi Nakamura
Research Institute for Information Science and Education, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8527, Japan
E-mail: nakamura@riise.hiroshima-u.ac.jp

V. I. Zakharov
Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics ITEP, 117259 Moscow, Russia
Max-Planck Institut für Physik, Föhringer Ring 6, 80805, München, Germany
E-mail: xxz@mppmu.mpg.de

We study influence of center vortices on infrared properties of gluons in the deconfinement phase of quenched QCD. We observe a significant suppression of the magnetic component of the gluon propagator in the low-momentum region after the vortices are removed from the gluon configurations. The propagator of the electric gluon stays almost unaffected by the vortex removal. Our results demonstrate that the center vortices are responsible for important nonperturbative properties of the magnetic component of the quark-gluon plasma.

The XXVII International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory - LAT2009
July 26-31 2009
Peking University, Beijing, China

*Speaker.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Licence.

arXiv:0910.4828v1 [hep-lat] 26 Oct 2009

1. Introduction

The quark-gluon plasma attracts a lot of attention nowadays due to a real feasibility to create this unusual state of matter in heavy-ion collisions. The wide interest to this topic is additionally heated by discoveries of interesting properties of the plasma (the very low viscosity of this substance is a well-known example [1]). Moreover, it seems plausible that the quark-gluon plasma may contain exotic objects such as magnetic monopoles [2, 3] and magnetic vortices [2]. Both the monopoles and the vortices constitute the nonperturbative magnetic component of the plasma. In this paper we concentrate ourselves on the magnetic vortices. Our aim is to demonstrate from the first principles that these objects are crucially important for the properties of the quark gluon plasma, because the vortices affect long-range propagation of hot magnetic gluons.

Originally, the magnetic vortices were invoked to explain quark confinement in QCD (for a review see Ref. [4]). The magnetic vortices are certain stringlike configuration of gluons which populate the vacuum of non-Abelian gauge theories. According to the vortex picture, the confining force between colored objects emerges due to spatial percolation of the magnetic vortex strings because the vortices lead to certain amount of disorder. The value of the Wilson loop changes by a center element of the gauge group if the magnetic vortex pierces the loop [1]. Therefore, very large loops receive rapidly fluctuating contributions from the vortex ensembles. These fluctuations make the average value of the Wilson loop very small. One can show that the suppression of the loop follows an area law for very large loops implying a linear confining potential between a static quark and an antiquark [4].

The relevance of the vortices to the confining properties of the vacuum can be demonstrated using an elegant method of vortex removal [5]. The gluons in the non-Abelian field configurations can be divided into the two parts: "gluons emerging due to the magnetic vortices" and "the rest". It turns out that if the vortices are removed, the remaining gluons cannot support the confining force between the quarks and antiquarks, and the confinement is lost at low temperatures [5]. On the other hand, the long-distance confinement is unaffected by an inverse procedure which keeps the vortices intact and removes "the rest" from the gauge field configurations. Thus, the magnetic vortices carry crucial information about the quark confinement at low temperatures.

It was suggested in Ref. [2] that in the deconfinement (quark-gluon) phase the vortices become *real* objects, as they form a magnetic component of the thermal plasma similarly to the thermal monopoles. The vortices become real because they provide a large contribution to the thermodynamics of the system according to the numerical simulations of Ref. [6].

Another known important property of the vortices is their role in the propagation of gluons at zero temperature [7]. The presence of the vortices in the gluon ensembles enhance the gluon propagators in the low-momentum region. As the vortices do not affect the high-momentum the gluons, one concludes that the vortices are responsible for the long-distance gluon propagation.

In this paper we investigate the propagation of the gluons in the high-temperature gluon plasma phase, which is interesting from the point of view of the heavy-ion collisions at RHIC and LHC. The gluon propagators in the deconfinement phase were studied in details in Ref. [8]. In this paper we combine the methods of Ref. [7] and Ref. [8] to find the effect of the magnetic vortices on the propagation of the hot gluons. We found that the effect is not trivial.

[1] The "magnetic vortices" and the "center vortices" are the same objects in our terminology.

2. Propagation of hot gluons

2.1 Gluon propagators

We define the gauge potential A via the lattice link variable U in a standard way,

$$A^a_\mu(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,\sigma^a U_\mu(x,t)\,. \tag{2.1}$$

The gluon correlation function is given by the formula:

$$D_{\mu\nu}(x,t) = \left\langle A_\mu(0,0)A^*_\nu(x,t)\right\rangle\,. \tag{2.2}$$

We are interested in the momentum-space propagators which are given by a Fourier transform of (2.2) evaluated either in the Coulomb ($\partial_i A_i = 0$) or Landau ($\partial_\mu A_\mu = 0$) gauges.

We study the propagation of the gluons with the zero energy transfer, $q_0 = 0$,

$$D_{\mu\nu}(\vec{q}, q_0 = 0) = \frac{1}{N_t}\sum_t D_{\mu\nu}(\vec{q},t)\,, \tag{2.3}$$

where N_t is the temporal extent of the lattice.

At finite temperature the temporal component of (2.3) corresponds to the electric gluon, $D_E \sim D_{00}$, while the propagator of the magnetic gluon is defined by the spatial correlations, $D_M \sim D_{ii}$. The masses corresponding to the electric and magnetic gluons can conveniently be calculated with the help of, respectively, D_E and D_M propagators in the coordinate space (2.2) at large spatial separations [8]. Here we study the momentum dependence of both electric and magnetic gluon propagators in order to investigate the effect of the vortices both in the infrared (large distance) and ultraviolet (short distance) regions.

2.2 Gluons at finite temperature

At finite temperature the electric and magnetic gluons behave differently. An electric gluon demonstrates the color-screening of the Debye type. The corresponding potential is proportional to $\exp(-m_e R)/R$, where R is the spatial separation. According to the perturbation theory the temperature dependence of the electric mass is $m_e \sim g(T)T$, where $g(T)$ is the running coupling at the temperature scale T.

It is hard to define the magnetic gluon propagator in the perturbative theory since the magnetic sector of QCD has a nonperturbative nature. The magnetic mass is an important quantity because it serves as an infrared cutoff of a thermal QCD theory. In the scope of the dimensional reduction the magnetic mass should scale as $m_m \sim g^2(T)T$ at $T \gg T_c$. This temperature dependence agrees with the recent lattice simulations in the temperature range $T/T_c = 1.5 \sim 6$, Ref. [8]. The temperature behavior of another nonperturbative quantity, the spatial string tension, is also understood in terms of the magnetic scaling [9], $\sigma_{\rm sp} \sim [g^2(T)T]^2$. Thus, the magnetic gluons play an important role in the infrared physics of the deconfinement phase.

2.3 Magnetic vortices

In order to identify the center vortex on the lattice we employ the direct maximal center gauge (MCG) [10] in SU(2) gauge theory. The gauge condition is given by the maximization of the functional $R[U]$ over all possible gauge transformations $U \to U^{\Omega}$,

$$\max_{\Omega} R[U^{\Omega}], \qquad R[U] = \frac{1}{VT}\sum_{x,t} \mathrm{Tr}\left[U_{\mu}(x,t)\right]^2 . \tag{2.4}$$

The center gauge field is defined as the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-valued link field $Z_{\mu}(x) = \mathrm{sgnTr}\left[U_{\mu}(x)\right] = \pm 1$ in the MCG. If a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ plaquette $Z_{x,\mu\nu} = Z_{\mu}(x)Z_{\nu}(x+\hat{\mu})Z_{\mu}(x+\hat{\nu})Z_{\nu}(x)$ takes a negative value, then this plaquette is pierced by a center vortex. A detailed review of the magnetic (center) vortices can be found in Ref. [4].

The vortices can be removed using the de Forcrand-D'Elia procedure [5] which is formulated as the redefinition of the original gauge field

$$U_{\mu}(x) \to U^{'}_{\mu}(x) = Z_{\mu}\, U_{\mu}(x) . \tag{2.5}$$

The gauge field U' does not contain vortices. Numerical simulations show that the string tension vanishes in the confinement phase after the removal of the center vortices [5]. The infrared propagation of the cold gluons is also suppressed by the vortex removal [7]. Below we study the effect of the vortices on the propagation of the hot gluons using the procedure (2.5).

3. Numerical Results

We simulate the $SU(2)$ lattice theory in the quenched approximation. We generate $10 \sim 30$ gauge configurations per a fixed set of lattice couplings. We use several lattice volumes $N_s^3 N_t$ with varying spatial size $N_s = \{12, 20, 24, 32, 48\}$ and fixed temporal size $N_t = 4$. We perform the MCG and then Landau (or Coulomb) gauge fixing. The convergence criteria for the violation of the gauge fixing conditions are set as $\varepsilon = 10^{-10}$ and $\varepsilon = 10^{-8}$, respectively. We work at two temperatures: close to the transition, $T \approx 1.40\, T_c$, and deeply in the deconfinement phase, $T \approx 6.0 T_c$ (the later regime may be realized at LHC/ALICE experiments).

3.1 Electric and magnetic gluons in Landau and Coulomb gauges

In Fig. 1 we demonstrate the electric and magnetic gluon propagators at $T = 1.40\, T_c$ calculated both at the original gluon configurations U and at the gluon configurations without vortices, U', Eq. (2.5). One immediately notices that the vortices do not affect the high momentum region of both electric and magnetic propagators. This feature – which is in line with the zero-temperature studies of Ref. [7] – is a natural consequence of the fact that the center vortices are nonperturbative objects so that they may not play a role in the perturbative regime.

However, the magnetic vortices affect the long-distance propagation of the gluons. After the removal of the vortices the magnetic propagator gets suppressed in the infrared region, Fig. 1(right). The suppression of the infrared electric gluons is also visible [Fig. 1(left)], but this effect is much less compared to the suppression in the magnetic sector.



Figure 1: The electric (left) and magnetic (right) gluon propagators as functions of the spatial momentum. The calculations are done in the Landau gauge using original and vortex removed gauge field configurations.



Figure 2: The same as in Fig. 1 but in the Coulomb gauge. Notice the logarithmic scale of the ordinate axis.

Thus, the center vortices are related to the magnetic sector of quark-gluon plasma phase as it was suggested in Ref. [2]. The vortices support the long-distance propagation of the magnetic gluons and they may be responsible for the low viscosity of the QCD plasma [2].

We also studied the propagation of the hot gluons in the Coulomb gauge[2]. Our numerical results are shown in Fig. 2. It is interesting to note that the removal of the vortices has a renormalization-like effect on the electric gluons. The electric propagator is scaled by a constant factor which is visibly independent on the momentum. The propagator of the magnetic gluon in the Coulomb gauge is suppressed in the infrared region similarly to the magnetic propagator in the Landau gauge. Thus, the effect of the infrared suppression is common for the Landau and Coulomb gauges. Below we continue the discussion of the gluon propagators concentrating only on the Landau gauge.

[2]Note that our gauge field configurations are additionally fixed by the temporal gauge fixing that maintain the Coulomb gauge property (for the details one can consult Ref. [8]).



Figure 3: The vortex removal: effects of high temperatures (left) and large lattices (right).

In Fig. 3(left) we show the magnetic and electric propagators at high temperature, $T \approx 6.0\,T_c$. There is almost no effect of the vortex removal on the electric propagator. However, as expected, the magnetic propagator is affected by the vortices in the infrared region: the removal of the vortices leads to the suppression of gluons with low momenta. The value of the propagator of the magnetic gluons (calculated either with or without vortices) in the infrared region is larger compared to the propagator of the electric gluons in the same region.

3.2 Volume and Gribov copy effects

In general, the propagators at the low momentum region can be influenced by the finite volume corrections. We checked the stability of our results against the variation of the volume of the system in Fig. 3(right). Since the data taken at different volumes follow the same curves within error bars, we conclude that both original and vortex-removed configurations are independent of the volume.



Figure 4: A check of the MCG-related Gribov copy effects on the electric (left) and magnetic (right) gluon propagators in a small volume.

Another source of error may come from the Gribov copy problem: the global minimization of the functional (2.4) cannot be achieved exactly using the numerical methods, while the gluon propagator may be affected by the choice of the minimum. In Fig. 4 we check the robustness of our results against the number of the Gribov copies given by the number of the starting configurations generated by random gauge transformations (RGT). One can see that the *qualitative* behavior of the propagators is not affected by the number of the Gribov copies neither for original nor for the vortex-removed configurations.

4. Summary

We found that the presence of the magnetic vortices enhances the propagator of the magnetic gluons in the low-momentum region at finite-temperature. Alternatively, one can formulate this statement as follows: the vortex removal procedure suppresses the magnetic propagator at low momenta. This effect is found both near the phase transition at $T = 1.40\,T_c$ and in the deep quark-gluon plasma phase at $T = 6.0\,T_c$. The propagation of the electric gluon stays almost unaffected by the vortices (the weak effect of vortices is visible close to the critical temperature and is not seen at the high temperature). We checked that influence of the vortices on the propagation of the hot gluons is not shadowed by the finite volume or the Gribov copies effects.

In Ref. [2] the magnetic vortices were suggested to form a light (i.e., low-mass) component of the gluon plasma, and therefore their removal should naturally suppress the long-distance propagation of the magnetic gluons. Our numerical results demonstrate that the center vortices are indeed responsible for important nonperturbative properties of the magnetic component of the quark-gluon plasma.

References

[1] D. Teaney, Phys. Rev. C **68** (2003) 034913; A. Nakamura and S. Sakai, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 072305 [hep-lat/0406009]; H. B. Meyer, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 101701(R) [arXiv:0704.1801].

[2] M. N. Chernodub and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98** (2007) 082002 [hep-ph/0611228].

[3] J. Liao and E. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. C **75** (2007) 054907 [hep-ph/0611131].

[4] J. Greensite, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **51** (2003) 1.

[5] P.de Forcrand, M.D'Elia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82** (1999) 4582.

[6] M. N. Chernodub, A. Nakamura and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 074021 [arXiv:0807.5012]; M. N. Chernodub, K. Ishiguro, A. Nakamura, T. Sekido, T. Suzuki and V. I. Zakharov, PoS **LAT2007** (2007) 174 [arXiv:0710.2547].

[7] J. Gattnar, K. Langfeld and H. Reinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 061601 [hep-lat/0403011].

[8] A. Nakamura, T. Saito and S. Sakai, Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 014506 [hep-lat/0311024]; A. Nakamura, I. Pushkina, T. Saito, S. Sakai, Phys. Lett. B **549** (2002) 133 [hep-lat/0208075].

[9] G. S. Bali, J. Fingberg, U. M. Heller, F. Karsch and K. Schilling, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3059.

[10] L. Del Debbio, M. Faber, J. Giedt, J. Greensite and S. Olejnik, Phys. Rev. D **58** (1998) 094501 [hep-lat/9801027].